The Wednesday, January 23, 2019 State Board of Elections meeting was held in the West Reading Room of the Patrick Henry Building, in Richmond, Virginia. In attendance: James Alcorn, Chairman, Clara Belle Wheeler, Vice Chair, and Singleton McAllister, Secretary, represented the State Board of Elections ("the Board"). On behalf of the Department of Elections ("ELECT") was Christopher E. "Chris" Piper, Commissioner, and Jessica Bowman, Deputy Commissioner. Alex West represented the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG"). Chairman Alcorn called the meeting to order at 11:40 A.M.

The first order of business was the approval of the December 21, 2018 and January 11, 2019 Board meeting minutes, presented by Secretary McAllister. *The Secretary moved that the Board approve the minutes from the December 21 and January 11 Board meetings.* Vice Chair Wheeler seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next order of business was the Commissioner's report, presented by Commissioner Piper. The Commissioner stated that the General Assembly is now in session; and that the district court in the Bethune-Hill case issued a direct order to finalize the redistricting maps for certain districts and localities. Commissioner Piper stated that this is not a final order, but is an initial directive to the Special Master to finalize a particular module of maps.

Commissioner Piper then noted that the National Voter Registration Act requires ELECT and the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to work together on voter registration. Commissioner Piper explained that the issue is neither DMV nor ELECT, but rather, the Motor Voter Process.

The Commissioner referenced a conversation he held with Commissioner Holcomb about working with the DMV on the motor voter process. Commissioner Piper wanted to credit Commissioner Holcomb for being a part of the strong partnership that has been created between the DMV and ELECT, but acknowledged previous issues toward the beginning of the Motor Voter Process. The Commissioner read part of a letter written by himself and Commissioner Holcomb and sent to Jake Washburn, General Registrar in Albemarle, and other general registrars: "ELECT and DMV have begun work to implement a revised Electronic Motor Voter Process (EMV). One of many revisions being implemented is that all customers regardless whether they are previously registered are asked all eligibility questions during the Motor Voter Process." Commissioner Piper

stated that in December 2018 the two agencies sat down after having the demonstration over the summer with the registrars, and the result of the change would be the elimination of the address update process. The Commissioner then advised that a group of general registrars review the revised process and make suggestions. Commissioner Piper stated that the voter is responsible for answering questions and going through the process at the DMV, which requires the person to read and understand what is being asked. Commissioner Piper introduced new ELECT staff members, Franchelle Tyson as the Board Liaison for the State Board and also Karen Thomas as the Deputy Chief Information Officer.

Chairman Alcorn asked the Commissioner for a rough timeframe for improvements. Commissioner Piper stated that they are at the beginning stages of the process and it would be premature to give a timeframe. The Commissioner advised that after the General Assembly leaves town ELECT would be able to spend more time on the process and plans to report back in March with a better timeframe.

Vice Chair Wheeler stated that she remembered an October 16, 2016 SBE meeting where the P&E Committee suggested that ELECT create a confirmation number so that the voter knows that their information was processed. The Commissioner then stated that ELECT receives transactions logs from the DMV which show when a person has confirmed their address twice. He wanted to caution that neither the DMV nor ELECT change a voter's address, rather, it's the process that the voter goes through at DMV to update their records. The Commissioner stated that both agencies are working on more protections to avoid issues like these. Vice Chair Wheeler asked when the online duplicate issue was fixed. The Commissioner stated that he believes it was fixed in October of 2016. Secretary McAllister asked how they were going to get the Registrars and the elections community involved in the Motor Voter Process. Commissioner Piper advised that they have received a lot of feedback from the elections community and are using that information to plan the revisions. The next step would be to demonstrate the new process to the registrars and obtain their feedback. The Commissioner reiterated that once the process is complete there will be a single path to apply to register to vote at the DMV.

The Chairman then called for General Registrar Washburn to approach the podium. Mr. Washburn stated that the Board has addressed the GR's concerns but that the address question was confusing for students as well as anyone. He expressed his appreciation for the Board addressing

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

the question quickly. Chairman Alcorn then called Commissioner Holcomb who shared his enthusiasm for the Motor Voter Process, and stated that DMV has sent over 10 million applications to local registrars since the program began. Commissioner Holcomb added DMV is committed to the process and will make changes as quickly and as accurately as possible.

The next order of business was the discussion of November 2018 General Election in Chesterfield County. Commissioner Piper commended Constance Tyler, the Chesterfield County Registrar because she reached out before and during the election, and kept ELECT apprised of issues as they were happening.

Rosemarie Hart, Secretary, Randy Welch, Vice Chair, and Susan Beals, Chair, of the Chesterfield County Electoral Board (EB), appeared before the Board as requested. On behalf of the Chesterfield County EB, Secretary Hart stated that more than 150,000 people voted in Chesterfield on November 6th, a 40% increase from the 2014 midterms. The Chesterfield County EB believes that each voter should be able to vote in a timely manner, and that every election should be run efficiently, fairly and impartially. Since the November 2018 election, Constance Tyler, the General Registrar, the Chesterfield EB and the county's Administration office has worked together to provide an in depth analysis of all aspects of the November 6<sup>th</sup> election. Based on the preliminary findings, the recommendations included: certain precincts to be split or moved; more training specifically for Chief Officers of Elections; and more funding for the elections office. Deputy County Administrator of Finance for Chesterfield County, Matt Harris, then provided the Board with the report. This report is in the Working Papers for the January 23, 2019 meeting. Chairman Alcorn commended Deputy County Administrator Harris for his presentation and the way Chesterfield County came together to resolve the issues that occurred. The Chairman pointed out that the information presented should be shared with other counties to advise them on how to handle situations like these. Vice Chairman Wheeler commended Deputy County Administrator Harris for making an agreement with the Department of Education for no school activities during Election Day.

Chairman Alcorn moved the Board recess at 1:17 P.M. Vice Chair Wheeler seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The Chairman moved the Board reconvene. Vice Chair Wheeler seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously. The Board reconvened at 1:33 P.M. The next order of business was Stand By Your Ad hearings, presented by Arielle A. Schneider, Policy Analyst.

The first complaint was against Eurika V. Tyree. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with the Complaint in question, which was a purple ballot that has a disclaimer reminding the individual using the ballot to provide a disclosure. She noted that the purple ballot is a non-disclosed advertisement distributed on Election Day. This counts as one penalty for a non-disclosed sample ballot advertisement, or, \$100 if first time violation, doubled to \$200 due to the time frame – distributed within 14 days of election – or \$50 (doubled to \$100) with an apology or remedial action. Ms. Tyree and her campaign manager stated that the registrar informed her as long as it was on white or yellow paper she could distribute it. The registrar did not contact them in regards to the issue. Ms. Tyree stated that her oldest daughter pointed out that there was not a disclaimer on the sample ballot, so she then wrote in her disclaimer on all the sample ballots she had with her and contacted her local team to stop printing ballots.

The campaign manager stated that she was given the ballots from the Registrar office and only informed to have it on white or yellow paper; she also stated that no one advised them that a disclaimer needed to be on the sample ballots. The campaign manager stated that it was not their intent to break the law, and apologized for it. Due to the apology Chairman Alcorn moved *subject* to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Eurika V. Tyree in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to one print media advertisements and assess a \$100 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Marc T. Aveni. Ms. Schneider presented a large sign displayed at a polling place on Election Day. As Mr. Aveni had been assigned penalties for first-time violations of Stand By Your Ad at the November 19, 2018 Board meeting, any violations the Board would assess today would be considered second violations, penalized at \$250. She added that this \$250 penalty would be doubled to \$500 due to the proximity to the election. Second, she presented an advertisement printed in a high school athletics program. Even though the advertisement was small, the minimum size for any disclosure is 7 point font. Ms. Schneider noted that the disclaimer does not satisfy this minimum requirement. Ms. Schneider added that the advertisement appeared in the athletics program, which was distributed 10+ times in August

through October, but also once on November 2<sup>nd</sup>. All told, the distributions were still from one printing, which resulted in a recommendation that the Board assign one penalty for the athletics program publication, which would be doubled due to the proximity to the election. Therefore, Ms. Schneider recommended a penalty of \$500 for the sign on Prince William St. and \$500 for the athletics program advertisement, with a total penalty being \$1000. Vice Chairman Wheeler asked if these advertisements were challenged in a previous meeting. Ms. Schneider did advise that the State Board had issued a penalty for the large sign when deployed in the morning outside the sign-maker's home, at a previous State Board Meeting but stated that the violation today was for a second deployment of the sign later in the day outside a polling place. She concluded that these incidents created another set of violations, which would be considered a second violation.

Marc Aveni then addressed the Board. Mr. Aveni stated that the violation in the High School Athletics Program was provided to him by the Booster Program. He stated that he sent in the information needed for the poster including the disclaimer, but when printed realized that the student minimized the disclaimer to fit on the poster. Once he was notified about the sign, he notified the student and she apologized to him and to the Board. Mr. Aveni stated that the second printed sign from Prince William St. had been removed by a volunteer who then decided to move it to another precinct at a polling place. The Chairman moved *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Marc Aveni in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to two print media advertisements and to assess a \$1000 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

The next complaint was against Paul J. Battle. Ms. Schneider introduced the challenged advertisement: a sample ballot that advocates for Mr. Battle and mentions other candidates. This is a sample ballot distributed on or prior to Election Day, with no disclaimer provided, making this a first time violation with the penalty of \$200, for one print media advertisement doubled due to the proximity to the election. Mr. Battle addressed the Board. He stated that he was not sure if the sample ballot present had the disclaimer or not, but he did create a ballot that was not properly disclosed. He informed the Board that he started handwriting a disclaimer on the ballots and decided to stop and just order more with the disclaimer. Mr. Battle stated that one of the kids ran out of the correct advertisements and accidently picked up the ballots that did not have the disclaimer. Once Mr. Battle was aware of the situation, he informed the kids not to pass them out.

Mr. Battle stated that he was well aware of the rule and apologized. Due to the apology Chairman Alcorn moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Paul J. Battle in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to one print media advertisement and to assess a \$100 penalty. Vice Chairman Wheeler seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Beth Barts for Loudoun County School Board. Ms. Schneider presented a series of advertisements but they are not Facebook paid ads, nor were they on a Facebook page specifically for her campaign. This counts as a first time violation, penalty being \$100, due to two print media advertisements on Facebook. Mrs. Barts was not present for the hearing. There were two complaints filed. Chairman Alcorn confirmed that online media is still print media. Ms. Schneider informed the Board that after Mrs. Barts was informed of the violation, she provided proof of compliance. Mrs. Barts also provided an apology. Due to the apology Chairman Alcorn moved, subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Beth Barts for Loudoun County School Board in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to one print media advertisement on Facebook and assess a \$50 penalty. Secretary McAllister second the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint is against Mark Shiffer. Ms. Schneider outlined multiple violations. Mr. Shiffer was not in attendance but did send a letter with an explanation and apology. *This letter is in the Working Papers for the January 23, 2019*. The Board agreed to examine the 13 violations separately. The following would be a \$50 penalty for each violation; 8 ½ x 11 flyer, Alexandria Times August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>; and Alexandria Times Online August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>. The following would be a \$100 penalty for each violation doubled due to the proximity of election; hand card, yard sign, Alexandria Times on October 25<sup>th</sup>, Alexandria Gazette on October 25<sup>th</sup>, Alexandria Times online October 25<sup>th</sup> and Alexandria Gazette online October 25<sup>th</sup>. Due to the apology Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Mark Shiffer in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to 13 advertisements and to assess a \$1000 penalty. Vice Chairman Wheeler seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

The next complaint is against the Martin Luther King, Jr. Group as distinguished from the Martin Luther King Jr, Leadership Steering Committee. Ms. Schneider stated that some of the

group of candidates on the Martin Luther King Jr. Group sample ballot were not aware of the improperly disclosed advertisement apparently sponsored by the Martin Luther King, Jr. Group. Ms. Schneider stated that she spoke with De'Andre Barnes and Mark Whitaker who informed her that they did not provide a photo or any information to be posted on the sample ballot. Ms. Schneider confirmed that the improperly disclosed sample ballot was not sponsored by the Martin Luther King. Jr. Leader Steering Committee. Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Martin Luther King Jr, Group in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to two print media advertisements and to assess a \$200 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

The next complaint was against Natan McKenzie. Ms. Schneider presented four images from a website that advertised Mr. McKenzie's candidacy. Ms. Schneider believed two images constituted as express advocacy, and recommended a \$200 fine for both images, doubled to \$400 due to the proximity of the Election. Ms. Schneider stated that she would amend her previous motion to levy a \$400 penalty because the website displaying the images is still active despite two notices provided to Mr. McKenzie. Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3 to find Natan McKenzie in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to two print media and to assess a \$400 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

The next complaint was against Charlie Long and Sallie Wolfe Garrison. Ms. Schneider presented three separate advertisements for Charlie Long, noted their time stamps and read a letter from Mr. Long attesting that his signs are properly disclosed. Ms. Schneider pointed out to the audience that the disclaimer is too small to read, and thus she could not verify compliance. Ms. Schneider advised that three of Mr. Long's four signs do not comply with the requirements for disclosure conspicuity and recommended further the Board double the penalty due to the proximity to the election, for a total of \$700. Ms. Schneider added Mr. Long presented to prove compliance, a sign that was not complained about, nor properly disclosed. She reiterated her recommended penalty amount. Chairman Alcorn asked Commissioner Piper for his opinion on the signs. Commissioner Piper agreed with Ms. Schneider's assessment of the conspicuity of the disclaimers on Mr. Long's signs, and agreed with Ms. Schneider's penalty assessment. Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Charles* 

Long in violation of Stand By Your Ad in regards to four advertisements and to assess a \$700 civil penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Schneider then moved on to Sallie Wolfe Garrison. The complaint was in regards to signs and advertisements photographed in the same images as those of Mr. Long. Ms. Garrison provided a letter reiterating the conspicuity of the disclaimers on her signs. Ms. Schneider recommended a penalty of \$500, to represent the three \$100 penalties for undisclosed signs, two of which were displayed on or immediately before Election Day, resulting in two doubled penalties. Commissioner Piper suggested that in the future it would be useful to encourage people to send images to the Board of signs in question. Ms. Schneider agreed with this suggestion, saying that the more information she receives the better. Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Sallie Wolfe Garrison in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regard to three advertisements and to assess a \$500 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

The last complaint was against Vernon Fleming. Ms. Schneider found that Mr. Fleming's website constituted express advocacy, but not the image in question. Vice Chair Wheeler pointed out a phrase on the poster in question that said "Vote Fleming November 6." Upon seeing this, Ms. Schneider changed her recommendation of one violation for the website to be for two violations doubled due to the proximity to the election. Chairman Alcorn moved, *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Vernon Fleming in violation of Stand By Your Ad with regards to two expressed advocacies on website and to assess a \$200 penalty. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.* 

Ms. Schneider thanked the Board.

Ms. Schneider brought up a number of complaints that would not be addressed at the current Board meeting. Chairman Alcorn asked what the Board's procedure was for complaints where no expressed advocacy is present, specifically whether the Board needs to say that the charge is being dismissed or not bring up the charge at all. Ms. Schneider answered that the Board receives notice of the complaint but that it is not brought up at a meeting because it is "outside [the] parameter" of the Board, since there would be nothing wrong with these specific complaints.

Vice Chair

Tara Carroll, Chairwoman of the Chesterfield County Republican Committee, spoke to the Board regarding colors of sample ballots. Chairman Alcorn reiterated that the rule regarding colors has not changed. Ms. Carroll thanked the Board for its time. Chairman Alcorn finally presented gifts to the rest of the Board Members to commemorate their last meeting together. Vice Chair Wheeler moved to adjourn the Board. Secretary McAllister seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:40 P.M. Secretary Chair